Case 2:15-mj-01924-JFM Document 3 Filed 12/15/15 Page 1 of 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

0	R	DFR	OF	DET	ren'	TION	PENDIN	NG	TRIAI
v	11		\mathbf{v}_{i}				, LIVUII	••	INAL

٧.

	Nic	asio Rostro-Moreno	Case Number:	15-01924MJ-001	
		with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 ⁻ are established: <i>(Check one or both, as app</i>		submitted. I conclude that the	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.				
×		eponderance of the evidence the defeng trial in this case.	dant is a serious flight risk and requ	ire the detention of the defendant	
		PART	Γ I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defenwould have been a federal offense if a	•	• •	
		a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which the maxis	mum sentence is life imprisonment	or death.	
		an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in	
			fter the defendant had been convict C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab		
		any felony that involves a min- device (as those terms are de to register under 18 U.S.C. §2	fined in section 921), or any other d	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offens release pending trial for a federal, stat		tted while the defendant was on	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish will reasonably assure the safety of (anot rebutted this presumption.	n a rebuttable presumption that no c n)other person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions by. I further find that the defendant has	
		,	Alternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probal	ole cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956	6(a), or 2332b.		
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	or which a maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is	
		an offense involving a minor v	rictim under section	.8	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the proconditions will reasonably assure the a	resumption established by finding 1 appearance of the defendant as req	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.	

⁷Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{8}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S 1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:15-mj-01924-JFM Document 3 Filed 12/15/15 Page 2 of 3

	Alte	rnative	Find	inas
--	------	---------	------	------

There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
I find that the credible testimony and information ⁹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
The defendant has a prior criminal history.
The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

⁹The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:15-mj-01924-JFM Document 3 Filed 12/15/15 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: December 14, 2015

JAMES F. METCALF
United States Magistrate Judge